Docket No.: ETINC.013A

Customer No.: 20,995

AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Applicants

Wohlgemuth, et al.

Appl. No.

09/847,813

Filed

: May 1, 2001

For

ANTI-PIRACY SYSTEM

FOR REMOTELY SERVED

COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

Examiner

Benjamin E. Lanier

**Group Art Unit** 

2132

Confirmation No.:

6351

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

> 12 /9/04 (Date)

John R. King, Reg. No. 34,362

### **Mail Stop Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Response to November 10, 2004 Restriction Requirement in two (2) pages.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extensions of time, or credit overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

John R. King

Registration No. 34,362

Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\CAF\CAF-3229.DOC: 120704

PATENT



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

Wohlgemuth, et al.

Appl. No.

09/847,813

Filed

May 1, 2001

For

ANTI-PIRACY SYSTEM FOR

REMOTELY SERVED

**COMPUTER APPLICATIONS** 

Examiner

Benjamin E. Lanier

Group Art Unit

2132

Confirmation No.:

6351

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

(Date)

John R. King, Reg. No. 34,36

# RESPONSE TO NOVEMBER 10, 2004 RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

## Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Sir:

This communication is in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed November 10, 2004. The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following inventions:

- Claims 1–3, 10–12, 19 and 25, characterized as drawn to a first process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 189;
- Claims 4 and 13, characterized as drawn to a second process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 190;
- III) Claims 5, 14, 20 and 26, characterized as drawn to a third process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 193;

Appl. No. Filed

09/847,813 May 1, 2001

IV) Claims 6, 15, 21 and 27, characterized as drawn to a fourth process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 201;

V) Claims 7, 16, 22 and 28, characterized as drawn to a fifth process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 190; and

VI) Claims 8, 9, 17, 18, 23, 24, 29 and 30, characterized as drawn to a sixth process for preventing the piracy of application programs, classified in class 713, subclass 189.

While Applicants make no representation regarding the groupings of claims or whether such claims are properly restrictable under the rules, in an effort to progress the examination of the present application, Applicants respectfully elect without traverse to prosecute Claims 1–3, 10–12, 19 and 25 (Group I). Please cancel Claims 4–9, 13–18, 20–24, and 26–30 without prejudice or disclaimer.

Applicants reserve the right to pursue the nonelected claims in one or more continuing or divisional applications.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 12/9/04

John R. King
Registration No. 34,362
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20.995

(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\CAF\CAF-3208.DOC 120304